6th February 1924]

A.—(i) to (iv) The compilation of these statistics for a period of about 125 years, even if it be possible at this distance of time, will involve the expenditure of money and labour for which the Government see no justification.

Mappilla Rebellion.

Remission of sentences passed on Mappilla rebels.

- 163 Q.—Mr. C. Ramalinga Reddi: Will the hon, the Home Member be pleased to make a statement regarding
- (i) the remission of sentences or other forms of clemency shown to persons convicted in connexion with the recent Mappilla outbreak;
 - (ii) the number of people still in prison here or in the Andamans;
- (iii) the number of people whose sentences have been suspended under the fines system;
 - (iv) the amount so far collected under that scheme;
 - (v) how much more the Government expect to realize;
- (vi) the number of appeals from the judgment of the Special Tribunals and other Courts which dealt with Martial Law cases disposed of by the hon. the High Court so far and in how many cases the sentences of the Special Tribunals and other Courts which dealt with Martial Law cases were set aside or reduced; and
- (vii) whether the Government intend to take any action on my Resolution regarding the immediate appointment of a committee to examine and remit sentences in all cases in which conviction has been obtained only on circumstantial evidence or where there was no proof of direct participation in violence?
 - A.—(i) In addition to the scheme of suspended sentences, the Government have ordered the reduction or remission of sentences passed on about 1,395 persons.
 - (ii) The number of prisoners in jails in Madras on 31st December 1923 was 7,553 and that in the Andamans in the middle of October was 1,219.
 - (iii) 17,688 up to the end of December 1923.
 - (iv) Rs. 6,14,228-15-9 up to the end of December 1923.
 - (v) The Government see no reason to anticipate that the balance of fines due, amounting to about 4 lakhs of rupees, will not be realized.
 - (vi) The number of appeals disposed of is 1,775. The number of appellants concerned in the appeals disposed of was 4,793 and the sentences on 972 persons were modified, the conviction being quashed in the case of 382 persons, and the sentences passed on 590 persons being reduced. A fresh trial was ordered in the case of 64 persons.
 - (vii) The Government do not propose to take any action on the Resolution before it is discussed in the Council.

Mr. C. Ramalinga Reddi:—"I want to put a supplementary question to the hon, the Home Member. If I remember rightly, the total number of people convicted was something like 30 to 32 thousands. But I see the number of appeals disposed of is only 1,775. For obvious reasons probably many have not come up with appeals. Practically I see that one-fifth were either acquitted or had their sentences reduced. Would it not be, Sir, gracious on the part of the Government if they pursue now a generous policy of remission of sentences as prayed for by Resolutions numerously signed?"

The hon. Sir Arthur Knapp:—"I am not quite sure whether this is a request for information; it seems to be rather a recommendation. I remember, Sir, that your predecessor has reminded the House that anything which is not a request for information should take the form of a Resolution."

Mappilla rebels fined under the suspended sentence scheme.

164 Q.—Mr. K. Uppi Sahib: Will the hon, the Home Member be pleased to state—

(a) how many Mappillas have been fined under the suspended sentence

scheme for offences connected with the rebellion;

(b) what is the total amount of fine so levied;

(c) how much has been already collected;

- (d) how many of the Mappillas so fined have made default in paying the fine; and
 - (e) how many of them have been imprisoned for such default?

A.—(a) 17,688 up to the end of December 1923.

(b) Rs. 10,11,234-6-6 up to end of December 1923. (c) Rs. 6,14,228-15-9 up to end of December 1923.

(d) 2,279, excluding one taluk for which figures are not yet ready.

(e) 424 up to 30th November 1923.

Medical Service.

Compulsory retirement of Sub-Assistant Surgeons.

165 Q.—Rao Sahib U. Rama Rao: Will the hon, the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(1) whether it is a fact that Sub-Assistant Surgeons who were compelled to retire under the Retrenchment scheme were not permitted to avail themselves of any kind of leave before retirement though they might be entitled to the same and that a special Government Order was issued to that effect;

(2) if the answer to the above be in the affirmative, whether the hon. the Minister will be pleased to lay on the table the Government Order in question;

(3) whether it is a fact that officers of less than fifty years of age and thirty years of service were made to retire, while those who were older and

had put in longer service were retained; and

(4) whether it is a fact that the local boards were given the option to employ their own Sub-Assistant Surgeons in medical institutions under their charge except taluk headquarter hospitals?